

AMENDED IN SENATE JUNE 21, 2006

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY MAY 26, 2006

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY MARCH 29, 2006

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—2005–06 REGULAR SESSION

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 2302

**Introduced by Committee on Judiciary (Jones (Chair), Evans,
Laird, Levine, Lieber, and Montanez)**

**(Principal coauthors: Assembly Members Arambula, Baca, Bass,
Berg, Bermudez, Calderon, Chan, Chu, Coto, De La Torre,
Frommer, Goldberg, Shirley Horton, Karnette, Koretz, Lieu,
Liu, Nakanishi, Nation, Oropeza, Parra, Pavley,
Ridley-Thomas, Saldana, Salinas, Torrico, and Vargas)**

**(Principal coauthors: Senators Cedillo, Ducheny, Escutia, Ortiz, and
Speier)**

February 22, 2006

An act to amend Section 755 of the Evidence Code, relating to evidence.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 2302, as amended, Committee on Judiciary. Evidence: court interpreters.

Existing law requires that in any action or proceeding pursuant to specified provisions of law, an interpreter be provided by the court for a party who is incapable of understanding or speaking the English language to interpret the proceedings in a language that the party understands and to assist communication between the party and his or her attorney.

This bill would revise the above provision to specify that in any civil action or proceeding, including, but not limited to, any family court proceeding or service, ~~any juvenile court proceeding, any action involving a traffic or other infraction,~~ any small claims court proceeding, any proceeding to determine the mental competency of a person, or any court-ordered or court-provided alternative dispute resolution, including mediation and arbitration, in which a party does not proficiently speak or understand the English language, an interpreter *is required* to be present to interpret the proceedings, as specified. The bill would also require a court to provide the interpreter, unless a party has notified the court that he or she has made arrangements for a private interpreter.

Existing law requires the fees of interpreters to be paid by the litigants in civil cases, as directed by the court, but further requires the fees of an interpreter to be waived for a party who needs an interpreter and appears in forma pauperis.

This bill would revise that provision to specify that the fees of interpreters shall be paid by the court, however, in an unlimited civil action the court may charge the parties a fee equal to the actual cost of providing that service, as specified. The bill would further require the fees of an interpreter to be waived for a party who needs an interpreter and appears either in propria persona or in forma pauperis, or if the court otherwise determines the party is financially unable to pay the cost of an interpreter. The bill would also authorize the court, if a party whose fees have been waived prevails in the action, to assess the amount of waived fees against that party in a manner the court believes is compatible with the party's financial ability, or assess those fees to another party, as specified. The bill would additionally establish the order of *precedence* in which interpreters would be assigned in cases if sufficient funds are not allocated in the annual Budget Act *or otherwise available* to meet the needs for court interpreters in all civil actions and proceedings, or if a sufficient number of interpreters is not available, as specified.

This bill would also require the Judicial Council to conduct a study of the need for court interpreters in civil proceedings and the extent to which the need for interpreters is being met, and to report its findings to the Governor and the Legislature not later than March 1, 2009, and every 2 years thereafter. The bill would also make related changes to that provision of law and would set forth findings and declarations of the Legislature.

This bill would further require each trial court, with the support of the Administrative Office of the Courts and in consultation with the exclusive representative of interpreters, to provide court interpreters with specified training.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes.
State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares as follows:

2 (a) California is emblematic of the American dream, a place of
3 stunning natural beauty, a seat of international commerce, and a
4 land of unparalleled opportunity. As a result, California is the
5 most populous and demographically diverse state in the nation, a
6 meeting place of cultures, ethnicities, and ideas unlike any other
7 in the world. Of the state's 34 million people, about 26 percent
8 (roughly 8.8 million people) are foreign born. Californians speak
9 more than 220 languages, and 40 percent of the state's population
10 speaks a language other than English in the home. This
11 extraordinary diversity is among the state's greatest assets and
12 has helped make California an international leader in business,
13 the arts, entertainment, engineering, medicine, and other fields.
14 The state's diversity also poses unique challenges for the delivery
15 of government services, particularly for the courts.

16 (b) For Californians not proficient in English, the prospect of
17 navigating the legal system is daunting, especially for the
18 growing number of parties who do not have access to legal
19 services and therefore have no choice but to represent themselves
20 in court, which is a virtually impossible task for people who are
21 unable to understand the proceedings. Nearly seven million
22 Californians cannot access the courts without significant
23 language assistance, cannot understand pleadings, forms, or other
24 legal documents, cannot communicate with clerks or court staff
25 and cannot understand or participate meaningfully in court
26 proceedings, much less effectively present their cases without a
27 qualified interpreter. People with limited English proficiency are
28 also often members of groups whose cultural traits or economic
29 circumstances make them more likely to be subjected to legal
30 problems, in part because perpetrators recognize their victims'
31 limited ability to access judicial protection.

1 (c) The Legislature has previously recognized that the number
2 of non-English speaking persons in California is increasing, and
3 recognized the need to provide equal justice under the law to all
4 California residents and to provide for their special needs in their
5 relations with the judicial and administrative law system. The
6 Legislature has likewise recognized that the effective
7 maintenance of a democratic society depends on the right and
8 ability of its residents to communicate with their government and
9 the right and ability of the government to communicate with
10 them.

11 (d) Inadequate resources to assist litigants with limited English
12 proficiency affect the court's ability to function properly, causing
13 delays in proceedings for all court users, inappropriate defaults,
14 and faulty interpretation that can ultimately subvert justice. Our
15 judicial system relies on the adversarial process in which neutral
16 arbiters decide disputes based upon competing presentations of
17 facts and law. Conducting court proceedings when one party is
18 incapable of fully participating significantly impairs the quality
19 and efficiency of the process and its results, including
20 compliance with court orders. The courts have made significant
21 efforts to assist litigants with limited English proficiency,
22 including steps to increase the number of certified and registered
23 interpreters and to provide interpreters in civil cases, if resources
24 are available. Nevertheless, court proceedings are required to be
25 conducted in English, and most crucial court forms and
26 documents are available only in English, while the number of
27 skilled interpreters has actually declined over the past decade and
28 the number of persons requiring interpreter services has
29 increased. As a result, a qualified interpreter is not provided in
30 most civil proceedings.

31 (e) The inability to respond to the language needs of parties in
32 court impairs trust and confidence in the judicial system and
33 undermines efforts to secure justice for all. The authority of the
34 courts depends on public perceptions of fairness and
35 accessibility. Any significant erosion of public trust and
36 confidence in the fairness of judicial outcomes threatens the
37 future legitimacy of the legal system. By excluding a large
38 segment of the population from participation in an institution that
39 shapes and reflects our values, we threaten the integrity of the
40 judicial process. Resentment fostered by the inability to access

1 the benefits of the court system can ultimately impair
2 enforcement of judicial decrees and attenuate the rule of law.

3 (f) Reliance on untrained interpreters, such as family members
4 or children, can lead to faulty translations and threaten the court's
5 ability to ensure justice. Court interpretation is extremely
6 difficult and takes a rare combination of skills, experience, and
7 training. Apart from the possibility of fraud, unqualified
8 interpreters often fail to accurately and comprehensively convey
9 questions and distort testimony by omitting or adding
10 information, or by stylistically altering the tone and intent of the
11 speaker, thereby preventing courts from hearing the testimony
12 properly. These problems compromise the fact finding process
13 and can result in genuine injustice.

14 (g) An overwhelming number of Californians believe that
15 interpreters should be made available to assist non-English
16 speakers in all court proceedings, and that interpreters should be
17 provided free of charge to low-income non-English speakers.

18 (h) California law currently mandates appointment of an
19 interpreter for all witnesses in civil cases, and for parties with
20 hearing impairments. In addition, California statutes mandate the
21 appointment of an interpreter in adjudicative proceedings before
22 state agencies, boards, and commissions at no charge to the
23 parties whenever a party or the party's witness does not
24 proficiently speak or understand English. Other states by contrast
25 provide both witnesses and parties with a right to a
26 court-appointed interpreter in all civil matters at no cost to the
27 party.

28 SEC. 2. Section 755 of the Evidence Code is amended to
29 read:

30 755. (a) In any civil action or proceeding, including, but not
31 limited to, any family court proceeding or service, ~~any juvenile~~
32 ~~court proceeding, any action involving a traffic or other~~
33 ~~infraction, any small claims court proceeding,~~ any proceeding to
34 determine the mental competency of a person, or any
35 court-ordered or court-provided alternative dispute resolution,
36 including mediation and arbitration, in which a party does not
37 proficiently speak or understand the English language, and that
38 party is present, an interpreter, as provided in this section, shall
39 be present to interpret the proceedings in a language that the
40 party understands, and to assist communication between the party

1 and his or her attorney. Notwithstanding this requirement, a court
2 may issue an ex parte order pursuant to Sections 2045 and 7710
3 of, and Article 1 (commencing with Section 6320) of Chapter 2
4 of Part 4 of Division 10 of, the Family Code, without the
5 presence of an interpreter. Unless a party has notified the court
6 that he or she has made arrangements for a private interpreter, the
7 court shall provide the interpreter. The interpreter shall be
8 certified pursuant to Article 4 (commencing with Section 68560)
9 of Chapter 2 of Title 8 of the Government Code, except as
10 provided in subdivision (c) of Section 68561 of the Government
11 Code.

12 (b) (1) The fees of interpreters utilized under this section shall
13 be paid by the court. However, in an unlimited civil action the
14 court may charge the parties, in proportions as the court may
15 direct, a fee equal to the actual cost of providing that service per
16 hour of service required. Any court fee paid by the parties or
17 other expense for the services of a private interpreter shall be
18 recoverable as taxable costs by the prevailing party. When a
19 county is a party, these fees shall be paid as provided in
20 subdivision (b) of Section 68092 of the Government Code. The
21 fees of an interpreter shall be waived for a party who needs an
22 interpreter and appears either in propria persona or in forma
23 pauperis pursuant to Section 68511.3 of the Government Code or
24 if the court otherwise determines that the party is financially
25 unable to pay the cost of an ~~interpreter~~ *interpreter*.

26 (2) If a party whose fees have been waived prevails in the
27 action or proceeding or obtains a monetary settlement of his or
28 her claims, the court may assess the amount of the waived fees
29 either against that party in any manner the court believes is
30 compatible with the party's financial ability, or assess those fees
31 to another party against whom the party whose fees and costs
32 have been waived would have been entitled to recover those fees
33 had they not been waived, and order the other party to pay that
34 sum to the court or to the clerk and serving and levying officers
35 respectively or order the amount of the waived fees added to the
36 judgment and so identified by the clerk.

37 (3) If sufficient funds are not allocated in the annual Budget
38 Act *or otherwise available* to meet the needs for court
39 interpreters in all civil actions and proceedings, or if after diligent
40 search a sufficient number of interpreters is not available for all

1 civil actions and proceedings, interpreters shall be assigned in the
2 following order of priority, *subject to any rules that the Judicial*
3 *Council may adopt to implement the priority guidelines in this*
4 *section so as to ensure that court interpreters are provided in*
5 *civil matters consistently with sound and efficient court*
6 *administration and prudent personnel practices:*

7 (A) Parties appearing in forma pauperis or whom the court
8 otherwise determines are financially unable to pay the cost of an
9 interpreter— *in the following order of precedence by case type:*

10 (i) *Actions and proceedings under Division 10 (commencing*
11 *with Section 6200) of the Family Code.*

12 (ii) *Actions and proceedings under the Uniform Parentage Act*
13 *(Part 3 (commencing with Section 7600) of Division 12 of the*
14 *Family Code).*

15 (iii) *Actions and proceedings for dissolution or nullity of*
16 *marriage or legal separation of the parties in which a protective*
17 *order has been granted or is being sought pursuant to Section*
18 *6221 of the Family Code or a protective order has been granted*
19 *or is being sought pursuant to Section 136.2 or paragraph (2) of*
20 *subdivision (a) of Section 1203.097 of the Penal Code.*

21 (iv) *Actions and proceedings relating to unlawful detainer.*

22 (v) *Actions and proceedings under the Elder Abuse and*
23 *Dependent Adult Civil Protection Act (Chapter 11 (commencing*
24 *with Section 15600) of Part 3 of Division 9 of the Welfare and*
25 *Institutions Code).*

26 (vi) *Actions and proceedings in small claims court,*
27 *notwithstanding Section 116.550 of the Code of Civil Procedure.*

28 (vii) *Actions and proceedings involving appointment of a*
29 *guardian or conservator.*

30 (viii) *Actions and proceedings arising out of or related to*
31 *employment.*

32 (ix) *Actions and proceedings with respect to claims alleging*
33 *violation of civil rights.*

34 (x) *Other actions and proceedings in family court.*

35 (xi) *Other actions and proceedings in probate court.*

36 (xii) *Other limited civil actions and proceedings.*

37 (xiii) *Other unlimited civil actions and proceedings.*

38 (B) Parties appearing in propria persona—*in the following*
39 *order of precedence by case type:*

- 1 (i) *Actions and proceedings under Division 10 (commencing*
2 *with Section 6200) of the Family Code.*
- 3 (ii) *Actions and proceedings under the Uniform Parentage Act*
4 *(Part 3 (commencing with Section 7600) of Division 12 of the*
5 *Family Code).*
- 6 (iii) *Actions and proceedings for dissolution or nullity of*
7 *marriage or legal separation of the parties in which a protective*
8 *order has been granted or is being sought pursuant to Section*
9 *6221 of the Family Code or a protective order has been granted*
10 *or is being sought pursuant to Section 136.2 or paragraph (2) of*
11 *subdivision (a) of Section 1203.097 of the Penal Code.*
- 12 (iv) *Actions and proceedings relating to unlawful detainer.*
- 13 (v) *Actions and proceedings under the Elder Abuse and*
14 *Dependent Adult Civil Protection Act (Chapter 11 (commencing*
15 *with Section 15600) of Part 3 of Division 9 of the Welfare and*
16 *Institutions Code).*
- 17 (vi) *Actions and proceedings involving appointment of a*
18 *guardian or conservator.*
- 19 (vii) *Actions and proceedings arising out of or related to*
20 *employment.*
- 21 (viii) *Actions and proceedings with respect to claims alleging*
22 *violation of civil rights.*
- 23 (ix) *Other actions and proceedings in family court.*
- 24 (x) *Other actions and proceedings in probate court.*
- 25 (xi) *Other limited civil actions and proceedings.*
- 26 (xii) *Other unlimited civil actions and proceedings.*
- 27 ~~(C) Actions and proceedings under Division 10 (commencing~~
28 ~~with Section 6200) of the Family Code.~~
- 29 ~~(D) Actions and proceedings under the Uniform Parentage Act~~
30 ~~(Part 3 (commencing with Section 7600) of Division 12 of the~~
31 ~~Family Code).~~
- 32 ~~(E) Actions and proceedings for dissolution or nullity of~~
33 ~~marriage or legal separation of the parties in which a protective~~
34 ~~order has been granted or is being sought pursuant to Section~~
35 ~~6221 of the Family Code.~~
- 36 ~~(F) Other actions and proceedings in family court.~~
- 37 ~~(G) Actions and proceedings relating to unlawful detainer.~~
- 38 ~~(H) Actions and proceedings in small claims court,~~
39 ~~notwithstanding Section 116.550 of the Code of Civil Procedure.~~

1 ~~(I) Actions and proceedings involving appointment of a~~
2 ~~guardian or conservator.~~

3 ~~(J) Other actions and proceedings in probate court.~~

4 ~~(K) Other limited civil actions and proceedings.~~

5 ~~(L) Other unlimited civil actions and proceedings.~~

6 *(C) Actions and proceedings in small claims court,*
7 *notwithstanding Section 116.550 of the Code of Civil Procedure.*

8 *(D) Other parties in the following order of precedence by case*
9 *type:*

10 *(i) Actions and proceedings under Division 10 (commencing*
11 *with Section 6200) of the Family Code.*

12 *(ii) Actions and proceedings under the Uniform Parentage Act*
13 *(Part 3 (commencing with Section 7600) of Division 12 of the*
14 *Family Code).*

15 *(iii) Actions and proceedings for dissolution or nullity of*
16 *marriage or legal separation of the parties in which a protective*
17 *order has been granted or is being sought pursuant to Section*
18 *6221 of the Family Code or a protective order has been granted*
19 *or is being sought pursuant to Section 136.2 or paragraph (2) of*
20 *subdivision (a) of Section 1203.097 of the Penal Code.*

21 *(iv) Actions and proceedings relating to unlawful detainer.*

22 *(v) Actions and proceedings under the Elder Abuse and*
23 *Dependent Adult Civil Protection Act (Chapter 11 (commencing*
24 *with Section 15600) of Part 3 of Division 9 of the Welfare and*
25 *Institutions Code).*

26 *(vi) Actions and proceedings in small claims court,*
27 *notwithstanding Section 116.550 of the Code of Civil Procedure.*

28 *(vii) Actions and proceedings involving appointment of a*
29 *guardian or conservator.*

30 *(viii) Actions and proceedings arising out of or related to*
31 *employment.*

32 *(ix) Actions and proceedings with respect to claims alleging*
33 *violation of civil rights.*

34 *(x) Other actions and proceedings in family court.*

35 *(xi) Other actions and proceedings in probate court.*

36 *(xii) Other limited civil actions and proceedings.*

37 *(xiii) Other unlimited civil actions and proceedings.*

38 *(4) This section shall not be construed to negate or limit any*
39 *right to an interpreter in a civil action or proceeding otherwise*
40 *provided by state or federal law.*

(c) Except as provided in paragraph (3) of subdivision (b) any civil action in which an interpreter is required under this section, the court shall not commence proceedings until the appointed interpreter is present and situated near the party and his or her attorney. However, this section shall not prohibit the court from doing either of the following:

(1) Issuing an order when the necessity for the order outweighs the necessity for an interpreter.

(2) Extending the duration of a previously issued temporary order if an interpreter is not readily available.

(d) This section does not prohibit the presence of any other person to assist a party.

(e) A local public entity may, and the Judicial Council shall, apply to the appropriate state agency that receives federal funds authorized pursuant to the federal Violence Against Women Act (P.L. 103-322) for these federal funds or for funds from sources other than the state to implement this section.

(f) The Judicial Council shall draft rules and modify forms necessary to implement this section, including those for the petition for a temporary restraining order and related forms, to inform both parties of their right to an interpreter pursuant to this section.

(g) *This section shall not be construed to alter the right of an individual to an interpreter in criminal, traffic or other infraction, juvenile, or mental competency actions or proceedings.*

SEC. 3. The Judicial Council shall conduct a study of the need for court interpreters in civil proceedings and the extent to which the need for interpreters is being met pursuant to Section 755 of the Evidence Code, and shall report its findings and recommendations to the Governor and the Legislature on or before March 1, 2009, and every two years thereafter. The study shall include data showing, by court, the languages for which parties in civil matters need a court-provided interpreter according to type of action or proceeding and whether the party appears in propria persona or in forma pauperis, as well as the extent to which each of these needs is met by court employees or independent contractors, certified or registered interpreters or, if not by a certified or registered interpreter, then the circumstances warranting good cause for appointment of a noncertified

1 interpreter, the amounts expended for each according to court,
2 type of party and type of action or proceeding, and the fees
3 apportioned and collected from parties pursuant to paragraph (2)
4 of subdivision (b) of Section 755 of the Evidence Code ~~Section~~.
5 The report shall include findings and recommendations regarding
6 the need for additional interpreters and interpreter compensation,
7 whether the availability of interpreters or the assessment of party
8 fees has an impact on equal access to justice, and the effect of
9 court interpreters on court administration and efficiency,
10 including reduced courtroom time for hearings, increased
11 compliance with orders and court schedules, reduced case delays,
12 and enhanced coordination between courts and culturally relevant
13 services in the community.

14 *SEC. 4. Each trial court, with the support of the*
15 *Administrative Office of the Courts and in consultation with the*
16 *exclusive representative of interpreters, shall provide court*
17 *interpreters with any training necessary to comply with the*
18 *requirements of this act. Training activities may include, but are*
19 *not limited to, video broadcasts, Internet-based training, and*
20 *dissemination of written materials.*